

Trade Policy Analyses

Vol. 5, No. 8

September 2003



On the eve of the fourth WTO Ministerial Conference in Cancún, Mexico, on September 10-15, 2003, the Cordell Hull Institute's Trade Policy Roundtable reviewed the "big picture" issues to be addressed by ministers and officials.

The meeting on September 8, 2003, was held in the Washington, DC, offices of the international law firm Hogan & Hartson, located in the Columbia Square Build-ing designed by I.M. Pei (pictured above).



Reproduced here are remarks from the meeting by Clayton Yeutter (above).

About the Author

Ambassador Clayton Yeutter is one of the nation's leading experts on contemporary politics,

PRE-CANCÚN...

Cancún – the Heavy Lifting Lies Ahead

Clayton Yeutter

TRADE ministers representing nearly 150 countries will meet in Cancún, Mexico this week, their purpose is to breathe new life into the so-called Doha Round of multilateral trade negotiations. Their announced intent is to bring these negotiations to a successful conclusion by the end of next year, now only 16 months away. Yet, as they gather in Cancún, they will have miss-ed essentially every interim deadline they've set for themselves. The foundation they've established pre-Cancún is shaky indeed!

The only good news for the ministers is that expectations for their meeting are modest. People who follow international trade policy closely know that they must judge a negotiation at its conclusion, not its mid-point. Since Cancún is more or less the mid-point, the major participants are not going to "show all their cards" now. That's why the heavy lifting lies ahead, post-Cancún.

Nevertheless, the major participants need to show a lot more cards than have been evident to date if Cancún is to be even a modest success, and if these negotiations are to have any realistic chance of finishing 16 months from now. If the major players continue to "spin their wheels," waiting for each other to exert leadership, this is likely to be at least a six year negotiation, rather than the intended four years. There is only so much the WTO Director General and chairmen of the various negotiating groups can do to foster progress. Ultimately representatives of the WTO member nations must be prepared to confront these challenges, and Cancún is a welcome opportunity for them to do so. Their goal this week should be to find ways to move this negotiating exercise toward closure, on time and with a meaningful outcome. If they fail, their constituents can properly ask why the ministers even bothered to go to Cancún.

What should they attempt to achieve this week? Peter Allgeier, Deputy U.S. Trade Representative, expressed this well when he international trade, food and agricultural policies.

A veteran of the private sector, Mr Yeutter served as president and chief executive officer of the Chicago Mercantile Exchange, the world's second largest futures trading market.

He went on to serve his nation as US Trade Representative from 1985 to 1989 and later, as Secretary of Agriculture and Counselor to the President.

He led the American team in negotiating the historic US-Canada Free Trade Agreement and helped launch the 100-nation Uruguay Round of GATT negotiations.

About the Meeting

Much depends on the WTO Ministerial Conference in Cancún achieving some traction in the troubled Doha Round negotiations. In November 2001, ministers agreed a schedule of deadlines for settling modalities for negotiations aimed at completing the round by the end of 2004, which they must have thought was realistic.

But governments have failed to meet one deadline after another. Thus settling the modalities for negotiations on agriculture and market access for nonagricultural products, as well as on extending the WTO to investment and competition, will be a formidable task for ministers from 146 countries to settle in just five days.

There will have to be some kind of compromise and, failing that, an exit strategy that avoids a

said recently: "...The bottom line is that we have the kinds of frameworks in the various negotiating areas that will give us the opportunity to have strong negotiations on those areas....The idea is to ensure that you've got the opportunity to negotiate high-ambition results."

What Ambassador Allgeier was saying was that the trade ministers should not do anything in Cancún that would preclude the post-Cancún heavy lifting that will be necessary if the Doha Round is to succeed. To the contrary, they need to advance the process this week, as much as they can.

What does that mean? For starters, it means that ministers need to give the *agricultural negotiations* a push. This has been labeled a "Development Round," and almost all developing countries are agrarian. That suggests that enhanced market access in agriculture should be one of the highest priorities in the negotiations. But that can never happen if the agricultural segment of the negotiations continues in disarray.

The developed countries have not yet shown any eagerness to open their markets appreciably in this area, and neither have the developing countries. The latter need to begin to realize that export opportunities among their fellow developing countries will often exceed those in the develop-ed world. They severely limit those opportunities by concentrating all their negotiating efforts on developed countries.

When the Doha Round was launched one of the announced objectives was to phase out agricultural export subsidies. (Export subsidies on industrial products have long been prohibited under GATT/WTO rules.) Regrettably the European Union, by far the greatest subsidizer, now says only that it is willing to phase out such subsidies on "some products" of special interest to developing countries. That is a most disappointing proposal, especially to developing country exporters.

Neither the U.S. nor the European Union scored any points with the rest of the world when they made a joint proposal on domestic subsidy disciplines a couple of weeks ago. Both entities spend huge sums of money on such subsidies and their proposal would essentially maintain the status quo. To their credit, both have begun to "decouple" their subsidies, meaning they'll be less trade distortive than in the past. But many of those programs can hardly be classified as non-distortive. Developed countries (the U.S., the EU and a number of other countries as well) need to reduce the level of these subsidies, not just shift them to "boxes" that are not subject to WTO disciplines.

In a nutshell, the agricultural exporting nations (and those who aspire to be exporters) need to deliver some candid messages in Cancún.

breakdown, which would add another dimension of uncertainty to the world economy. On the eve of the ministerial, starting on September 10, veteran Geneva watcher David Woods began to review the "big picture" issues in the Doha Round situation.

Other Speakers

In addition to Clayton Yeutter, other speakers included: **David Woods**, Managing Director of World Trade Agenda Consultants, Gen-eva and **Hugh Corbet**, President, Cordell Hull Institute, Washington, DC.

Trade Policy Roundtable

The Cordell Hull Institute's Trade Policy Roundtable is sponsored by seven international law firms in Washington, DC: Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, Arnold & Porter, Hogan & Hartson, O'Melveny & Myers, Sidley Austin Brown & Wood, Steptoe & Johnson and Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale & Dorr.



The mockingbird is the state bird of Tennessee. Cordell Hull represented a district of Tennessee in the Congress of the United States, and was elected a senator from there, before becoming U.S. Secretary of State (1933-44).

To the United States, they need to say: "You've talked the talk on agriculture, now be prepared to walk the walk, and insist that the European Union do so as well."

To the European Union they need to say: "Stop trying to get developing country support by offering preferences and special arrangements on export subsidies. Your mandate in this area is grossly inadequate, so go back to Brussels and get one that is far more ambitious."

To Japan they need to say: "Stop hiding behind the European Union on these agricultural issues in order to protect your indefensible rice programs. Be constructive and provide leadership or we'll never get to the issues that are important to you."

And developing-country exporters need to say to themselves: "Are we spending too much time trying to get special-and-differential treatment in agriculture? Should we not be careful about what we ask for, lest we get it and later rue the outcome?"

Turning to other Cancún issues, *industrial market access* has been at the heart of GATT/WTO negotiations for more than half a century, and this round is no exception. The United States has surfaced a proposal to phase out all industrial tariffs by 2015. That has generated lots of discussion, but not many endorsements. If other trade ministers have better ideas, Cancún would be a great time to hear them.

As a result of earlier negotiations, many of these tariffs are at such low levels they're simply a nuisance today. Others, however, are still high enough to significantly impede trade. So we ought to try to get rid of them all, and simply use safeguards provisions to protect against damaging import surges. If that proves unattainable in the Doha Round negotiations, serious attention should be given to the phase-out of tariffs in individual industry sectors. The Cancún ministerial is unlikely to decide these industrial issues, but it should provide relatively clear signals with respect to what might be attainable in this round.

Another pre-Cancún disappointment has been the lack of progress on *services*. This segment of the negotiations started even before the Doha Round negotiations were officially launched. Yet not much has happened even though growth in the services sector is critical to the overall growth of most economies in the world, including in the United States. Offers made so far in the Doha Round can hardly be characterized even as "modest". The trade ministers at Cancún need to jump-start this effort in a major way.

Finally, everyone is awaiting Cancún guidance on the "Singapore issues" — investment, competition policy, trade facilitation, and transparency in government procurement. My own view is that the ministers should add the latter two issues to the Doha Round

"The mockingbird is known for fighting for the protection of his home – falling, if need be, in its defense. Mockingbirds are not intimidated by animals larger than themselves and have been known to attack eagles"

Diana Wells, 100
Birds and How They Got
Their Names (Chapel Hill,
NC: Algonquin, 2002)

Trade Policy Analyses

Papers in the online series, *Trade Policy Analyses*, are published by the Cordell Hull Institute, which is a non-profit organization incorporated in Washington, DC, and is tax exempt under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.

The Institute's purpose is to promote independent analysis and public discussion of issues in international economic relations.

The interpretations and conclusions in its publications are those of their respective authors and do not purport to represent those of the Institute which, having general terms of reference, does not represent a consensus of opinion on any particular issue.

Copyright © 2003 by the Cordell Hull Institute and Clayton Yeutter

Permission is granted to quote from the paper, but it would be appreciated if the source could be acknowledged in the usual way. agenda. They should be able to do that without having to extend the December 2004 deadline. Everyone ought to support efforts to facilitate the cross-border movement of goods, services, and the people who are essential to those business endeavors. That's what trade facilitation is all about. And everyone ought to support greater transparency in government procurement throughout the world. In many countries such procurement practices have been far too secret for far too long.

So the Singapore issue debates in Cancún should focus principally on what, if anything, should be done with investment and competition policy. There is no doubt in my mind that the WTO can make worthwhile contributions in both these areas — and should do so. But the WTO is a consensus-based organization, and the probabilities of achieving consensus in Cancún to commence negotiations on either of these subjects would seem to be slim at best. If such negotiations were to be added to the Doha Round agenda, I see no chance whatsoever of completing the round by the end of next year.

Thus, the Cancún participants will have to decide whether to incorporate those subjects and extend the deadline, or exclude them and seek to maintain the present deadline. My guess is they'll choose the latter.

One should not, however, preclude a slightly altered option for one or more of the Singapore issues. There is nothing that says all WTO negotiations must be incorporated in a round. So if ministers wish to commence negotiations on investment or competition policy, but would rather not clutter the Doha Round agenda with these contentious subjects, they could initiate separate exercises for them. In other words, they could create a negotiating group for investment, and another for competition policy, both to function outside the context of the Doha Round. Assuming carefully circumscribed negotiating agendas consensus just might be achieved on the creation of such negotiating groups in Cancún.

In summary, if Cancún is to be judged a success, the attending trade ministers must create an improved negotiating environment for the heavy lifting that lies ahead. They need to decide with specificity just what is to be on the Doha Round agenda, and whether their present timetable is still realistic. If it is, they need to go home, obtain a lot more negotiating flexibility than they now have, and then dramatically accelerate the negotiations in Geneva. If they don't have the political will to do that, the Doha Round will be in serious jeopardy and could well collapse.